

## First record of *Odontesthes bonariensis* (Valenciennes 1835) captured live entangled in a plastic ring in a protected marine area of South America

PABLO LIMONGI

Centro Universitario Regional del Este (CURE). Universidad de la República (UdelaR), Uruguay

\* *E-mail*: <u>limongipablo@gmail.com</u>

**Abstract:** Entanglement by plastics affects the survival of marine biota. Fish entanglements are reported in the literature, mostly by ghost nets. Here we recorded the staggering of the fish *Odontesthes bonariensis*, captured live entangled in a plastic ring from a terrestrial source.

Key words: Plastic debris, fish entanglement, sublethal effects.

**Primeiro registro de** *Odontesthes bonariensis* (Valenciennes 1835) capturado vivo em um anel plástico em uma área marinha protegida na América do Sul. Resumo: O emaranhamento por plásticos afeta a sobrevivência da biota marinha. Os peixes emaranhados são relatados na literatura, sendo que a maioria ocorre por redes fantasma. Registramos o peixe Odontesthes bonariensis, capturado vivo e emaranhado em um laço plástico de uma fonte terrestre.

Palavras-Chave: Resíduos plásticos, emaranhamento de peixes, efeitos subletais.

Pollution of marine environments by marine debris, including plastics, is a consequence of the development of the Anthropocene period on Earth (Rhodes 2018). Plastic pollution damages marine biota in a number of ways, through consumption and entanglement, accumulating on the sea floor and facilitating invasions by non-native species (Derraik 2002, Wilcox et al. 2018, Mascarenhas et al. 2004, Basto et al. 2019, Azevedo-Santos et al. 2019). Extensive scientific literature evidences this interaction between marine biota and plastic debris. About 340 original publications reported 693 species entangled with marine debris, mostly plastic debris (92%) including numerous direct and indirect consequences, with sublethal effects such as ingestion and entanglement (Gall & Thompson 2015). According to Derraik (2002), the principal threats to marine life interaction with plastic debris are mechanical due to ingestion and entanglement with packaging bands, synthetic ropes and lines, or drift nets. Once an animal is entangled it may drown, reduce its ability to catch food, to avoid predators, or suffer injuries due to the abrasive or cutting action of the attached debris (Laist 1987; 1997, Jones 1995, Blettler & Wantzen 2019). Entanglement can greatly reduce fitness, as it leads to a significant increase in energetic costs of travel (Derraik 2002). The consequences are negative increased when entanglement occurs with ghost nets, which in addition to causing injuries and infections increased predation rates and reduced growth (Bletter & Waitzen 2019). Entanglement has relevance and also affects the survival of endangered sea turtles, fishes, seabirds, and marine mammals such as seals, which are both curious and playful (Mattlin & Cawthorn 1986, Carr 1987, Sazima & D'Angelo 2016, Lenzi et al. 2016, Ryan 2018, Kowalski & Jenkins 2021). In this sense, entanglement accounts for 13–29% of the observed mortality of gannets (Morus bassanus) at Helgoland, German Bight (Schrey & Vauk 1987, Rodriguez et al. 2013), decline in the populations of northern sea lion (Eumetopias jubatus), the endangered Hawaiian monk seal (Monachus chauinslandi) (Henderson 1990, 2001) and northern fur seal (Fowler 1987), among many other examples (Mattlin & Cawthorn 1986, Weisskopf 1988, Croxall

et al. 1990). Marine mammals usually approach objects in the water and often stick their heads into rings and holes (Fowler 1987, Laist 1987). Though the plastic rings can easily slip onto their necks, the lie of the long guard hairs prevents the strapping from slipping off (Mattlin & Cawthorn 1986, Derraik 2002). Even several fish and crustacean species were found entangled in the region (south Brazil) with lethal and sublethal effects (Adelir-Alves 2016). Despite fish entanglement being registered in scientific literature mainly by "ghost nets" (DeGange & Newby 1980, Blem et al. 2002, Kowalski & Jenkins 2021), to date there is no regional evidence of marine fish's entanglement by plastics from terrestrial source. Fish physiognomy, size, and trophic habits might determine the interaction with plastic debris (Davison & Asch 2011, Lusher et al. 2013), including entanglement.

We registered the offbeat record of the entanglement of a fish, *Odontesthes bonariensis* (Atheriniformes, Atherinopsidae), commonly known as Pejerrey, captured live with a floating plastic ring from a terrestrial source, in the protected marine area Cerro Verde and Coronilla islands. The species has a fine and elongated body, measuring about 50 cm and has no external difference between males and females (Mancini *et al.* 2016). It is piscivorous and inhabits euryhaline coastal areas. The fish was collected from coastal waters (depth < 15m) in La Coronilla, Rocha-Uruguay (33°50′ S; 53°27′ W), during a biodiversity monitoring activity using small mesh gill nets that target small fishes (mesh size 5 cm).

The fish length was 30.4 cm and a width of 6.2 cm. The plastic piece was circular in shape, white colour and 5 cm in diameter (Fig. 1). The plastic ring was associated with the construction

sector, as it was identified as the cover of a Teflon tape.

The plastic ring width is 1.2 cm smaller than the fish's width (6.2 cm). The injuries caused by the plastic item suggests that the entanglement happened when the fish had a smaller size. Evidently, the fish's body growth did not stop due to entanglement, although biological performance could have been negatively affected. This interaction did not affect its survival, at least at medium term. In particular, the affected area is close to the urogenital tract, which may have had negative consequences on the reproductive functions. Sublethal effects of plastic and animals' interaction might allow animals to survive but with obviously negative consequences (Fig. 2). While there is evidence of a live fish caught, trapped in a plastic bottle in southern Brazil (Azevedo-Santos et al. 2021), this is the first record of a live marine fish with signs of growth, entangled in a plastic ring of terrestrial origin.

Reporting occasional impacts of plastic pollution on marine biota is relevant to enlarge our understanding of the entanglement problem. In this sense, if the event is reported in a marine protected area far from urban centers, it becomes even more relevant. Although the plastic waste that enters the oceans comes from diverse and diffuse sources, it is estimated that 80% of them have a terrestrial origin (Sherrington et al. 2016). In this context, the rivers and streams that connect the land with the ocean are truly routes for transporting plastic waste (Small & Nicholls 2003). Within the protected area Cerro Verde and La Coronilla, an artificial channel, Canal Andreoni with 86 km of total length flows, discharges freshwater into the Atlantic Ocean, on the SW extreme of the 22 km exposed sandy beach located near La Coronilla resort. The Canal



**Figure 1.** Entangled marine fish *Odontesthes bonariensis*, in a floating plastic ring, collected from coastal waters (z < 15m) in La Coronilla, Rocha-Uruguay (33°50′ S; 53°27′ W).



**Figure 2**. Sublethal effects of plastic entanglement of fish collected from coastal waters (z < 15m) in La Coronilla, Rocha-Uruguay ( $33^{\circ}50'$  S;  $53^{\circ}27'$  W).

Andreoni not only transports large amounts of waste to the sea (Limongi per. obs.), but also causes a variety of environmental problems in the area (Sauco et al. 2010, Lercari et al 2002, 2010, Lercari & Defeo 2003; 2006, Lozoya & Defeo 2006). The plastic object stuck in the fish body corresponds to a Teflon tape cover. According to a report by DINAMA (Limongi 2020). the Uruguavan construction sector sells about 20.694,6 tons of plastic per year. Due to an inefficient waste collection system, part of this plastic debris might escape and could be indirectly reaching the Uruguayan seafront (Lozoya et al. 2015). The concerned concentration of plastic pollution in the area, and the particularly fine and elongated body shape of *O. bonariensis*, might be the plausible mechanisms to explain this offbeat record. Although there is no monitoring conducted in the area, recent works on nearby beaches evidence the presence of plastic waste on the Uruguavan Atlantic coastal zone (Rodriguez et al. 2020, Lozova et al. 2016), as well as plastic consumption by coastal fish (Limongi et al. 2019) and birds (Lenzi et al. 2016). A broader geographical effort in collection and reporting entanglement data will aid our efforts to pinpoint priority mitigation measures.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the fishermen Juan P. Lissardy and Agustín Correa for their collaboration and empirical knowledge. I also thank Soledad Aguiar and Deina Tucker for their contribution to this work. I wish to express my gratitude to Carolina Rodriguez and an anonymous referee which provided valuable suggestions on the final manuscript.

## **Ethics statement**

Collection and manipulation of specimens during this investigation fulfilled all applicable regulations regarding animal welfare and were approved by the responsible authorities.

## References

- Adelir-Alves, J., Rocha, G. R. A., Souza, T. F., Pinheiro, P.C. & Freire, K. M. F. 2016. Abandoned, lost or otherwise discarded fishing gears in rocky reefs of Southern Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Oceanography, 64: 427–434.
- Azevedo-Santos, C. 2019. Plastic ingestion by fish: A global assessment. **Environmental Pollution**, 255: 112994.
- Azevedo-Santos, V. M., Brito, M. F. G., Manoel, P. S., Perroca, J. F., et al., 2021. Plastic pollution: A focus on freshwater biodiversity. Ambio, 50: 1313–1324.
- Basto, M. N., Nicastro, K. R., Tavaresa, A. I., McQuaidb, C. D., Casero, M., Azevedo, F., Zardi, G. I. 2019. Plastic ingestion in aquatic birds in Portugal. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 138: 19–24.
- Blettler, M. C. M. & Wantzen, K. M. 2019. Threats underestimated in freshwater plastic pollution: Mini-Review. Water Air Soil Pollution, 230(7): 174.
- Blem, C. R., Blem, L. B., Harmata, P. J., 2002. Twine causes significant mortality in nestling Ospreys. **Wilson Bulletin**, 114: 528–529.
- Carr, A. 1987. Impact of nondegradable marine debris on the ecology and survival outlook of sea turtles. **Marine Pollution Bulletin,** 18: 352–356.
- Croxall, J.P., Rodwell, S. & Boyd, I. L. 1990. Entanglement in man-made debris of Antarctic fur seals at Bird Island, South Georgia. **Marine Mammal Science**, 6: 221– 233.
- Davison, P. & Asch, R. G. 2011. Plastic ingestion by mesopelagic fishes in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. **Marine Ecology Progress Series**, 432: 173–2180.
- DeGange, A. R. & Newby, T. C. 1980. Mortality of seabirds and fish in a lost salon driftnet. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 1 (11): 322–323.

- Derraik, J. G. B. 2002. The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 44(9): 842–852.
- Fowler, C. W. 1987. Marine debris and northern fur seals: a case study. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 18: 326–335.
- Gall, S. C. & Thompson R. C. 2015. The impact of debris on marine life. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 92: 170–179.
- Henderson, J. R. 1990. A review of Hawaiian monk seal entanglement in marine debris. *In* Shomura, R.S., Yoshida, H.O. (Ed.), Proceedings of the Workshop on the Fate and Impact of Marine Debris, 27–29 November 1984, Honolulu. US Department of Commerce, pp. 326–336.
- Henderson, J. R. 2001. A pre- and post-MARPOL Annex V summary of Hawaiian monk seal entanglements and marine debris accumulation in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, 1982–1988. **Marine Pollution Bulletin,** 42: 584–589.
- Jones, M. M. 1995. Fishingdebris in the Australian marine environment. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 30: 25–33.
- Kowalski, A. A. & Jenkins, L. D. 2021. A review of primary data collection on ghost fishing by abandoned, lost, discarded (ALDFG) and derelict fishing gear in the United States. **Academia Letters**, Article 4495.
- Laist, D. W. 1987. Overview of the biological effects of lost and discarded plastic debris in the marine environment. **Marine Pollution Bulletin,** 18: 319–326.
- Laist, D. W. 1997. Impacts of marine debris: entanglement of marine life in marine debris includinga comprehensive list of species with entanglement and ingestion records. In: Coe, J.M., Rogers, D.B. (Eds.), Marine Debris— Sources, Impacts and Solutions. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 99–139.
- Lercari, D. & Defeo, O. 2003. "Variation of a sandy beach macrobenthic community along a human-induced environmental gradient."
  Estuarine, Coastal & Shelf Science, 58: 17– 24.
- Lercari, D. & Defeo, O. 2006. Efectos del Canal Andreoni en playas de Rocha: deterioro ambiental y su efecto en la biodiversidad. Bases para la Conservación y el Manejo de la Costa Uruguaya. R. Menafra, L. Rodriguez-Gallego, F. Scarabino & D. Conde.

Montevideo, Uruguay, **Vida Silvestre** i+xiv: 668.

- Lercari, D., L. Bergamino & Defeo, O. 2010. "Trophic models in sandy beaches with contrasting morphodynamics: Comparing ecosystem structure & biomass flow." **Ecological Modelling**, 221(23): 2751–2759.
- Lercari, D., Defeo, O. & Celentano, E. 2002. "Consequences of a freshwater canal discharge on the benthic community & its habitat on an exposed sandy beach." **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 44: 1397–1404.
- Lenzi, J., Burgues, M. F., Carrizo, D., Machin, E. & Melo, F. T. 2016. Plastic ingestion by a generalist seabird on the coast of Uruguay. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 107: 71–76.
- Limongi, P. 2020. Diagnóstico de estado de situación a nivel nacional sobre la contaminación marina por microplásticos. Informe Técnico. **DINAMA-MA**. https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-ambiente/politi cas-y-gestion/micoplasticos
- Limongi, P., Lacerot, G., Segura, A. 2019. Plastic fibers in the gastrointestinal tract content of two South Atlantic coastal fish species with different trophic habits (Urophycis brasiliensis, Paralonchurus brasiliensis) in Punta del Diablo-Uruguay. **Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences**, 14(1): 71–76.
- Lozoya, J. P., Carranza, A., Lenzi, J., Machín, E., Mello, F. T., González, S., Hernández, D., Lacerot, G., Martínez, G., Scarabino, F., Sciandro, J., Vélez-Rubio, G., Burgues, F., Carrizo, D., Cedrés, F., Chocca, J., de Álava, D., Jiménez, S., Leoni, V., Limongi, P., López, G., Olivera, Y., Pereira, A., Rubio, L. & Weinstein, F. 2015. "Management & research on plastic debris in Uruguayan Aquatic Systems: update & perspectives". Integrated Coastal Zone Management 15(3): 377–393.
- Lozoya, J. P., Teixeira de Mello, F., Carrizo, D., Weinstein, F., Olivera, Y., Cedres, F., Pereira, M. & Fossati, M. 2016. Plastics and microplastics on recreational beaches in Punta del Este (Uruguay): Unseen critical residents? Environmental Pollution, 218: 931–941.
- Lozoya, J. P. & Defeo, O. 2006. "Effects of a freshwater canal discharge on an ovoviviparous isopod in an exposed sandy beach." **Marine & Freshwater Research,** 57: 421–428.
- Lusher, A., McHugh, M. & Thompson, R. C. 2013. Occurrence of microplastics in the

gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 67: 94–99.

- Mancini, M., Salinas, V., Biolé, F.G., Vignatti, A., Morra, G. & Echaniz, S. 2016. Limnology and ichtyofauna of José María shallow lake (córdoba, argentina), with special focus on silverside (Odontesthes bonariensis -Valenciennes, 1835). Sustainability, Agri, Food and Environmental Research, 4(3): 70–82
- Mascarenhas, R., Santos, R. & Zeppelini, D. 2004. Plastic debris ingestion by sea turtle in Paraıba, Brazil. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 49: 354–355
- Mattlin, R. H. & Cawthorn, M. W. 1986. Marine debris—an international problem. **New Zealand Environment,** 51: 3–6.
- Rhodes, C. J. 2018. Plastic pollution and potential solutions. Science Progress, 101(3): 207–260.
- Rodriguez, B., Bécares, J., Rodríguez, A. & Arcos, J. M. 2013. Incidence of entanglements with marine debris by northern gannets (Morus bassanus) in the nonbreeding grounds. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 75: 259–263.
- Rodriguez, C., Fossatti, M., Carrizo, D., Sánchez-García, L., Mello F. T., Weinsteine, F. & Lozoya J. P. 2020. Mesoplastics and large microplastics along a use gradient on the Uruguay Atlantic coast: Types, sources, fates, and chemical loads. Science of the Total Environment, 721: 137734.

- Ryan, P. G. 2018. Entanglement of birds in plastics and other synthetic materials. **Marine Pollution Bulletin**, 135: 159–164.
- Sauco, S., Eguren, G., Heinzen, H. & Defeo, O. 2010. Effects of herbicides and freshwater discharge on water chemistry, toxicity and benthos in a Uruguayan sandy beach. Marine Environmental Research, 70 (3): 300–307
- Sazima, I. & D'Angelo, G. B. 2016. Dangerous traps: Anhingas mistake anthropogenic debris for prey fish at an urban site in south-eastern Brazil. **Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia**, 23: 380–384.
- Schrey, E. & Vauk, G. J. M. 1987. Records of entangled gannets (Sula bassana) at Helgoland, German Bight. **Marine Pollution Bulletin** 18, 350–352.
- Sherrington, C., Darrah, C., Hann, S., Cole, G. & Corbin, M. 2016. Study to support the 28 development of measures to combat a range of marine litter sources. **Report for European 29**, Commission DG Environment.
- Small, C. & Nicholls, R. J. 2003. A Global Analysis of Human Settlement in Coastal Zones. Journal of Coastal Research, 19: 584–599.
- Weisskopf, M., 1988. Plastic reaps a grim harvest in the oceans of the world (plastic trash kills and maims marine life). **Smithsonian**, 18: 58.
- Wilcox, C., Puckridge, M., Schuyler, Q. A., Townsend, K. & Hardesty, B.D. 2018. A quantitative analysis linking sea turtle mortality and plastic debris ingestion. Scientific Reports, 8: 1236.

Received: January 2022 Accepted: February 2022 Published: April 2022