Record of a massive *Myliobatis goodei* and *M. ridens* discard in Cassino beach, Rio Grande do Sul state, southern Brazil, SW Atlantic
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**Abstract.** A beach seine operation was witnessed in Cassino Beach, Rio Grande do Sul coast, Brazil, with a discard of 178 individuals of *Myliobatis goodei* and *M. ridens* in one haul. Individuals had 44.0-84.0 cm of disc width. This species and fisheries need long-term monitoring and managing.
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**Resumo.** Registro de um descarte massivo de *Myliobatis goodei* e *M. ridens* na praia do Cassino, RS, Brasil, Atlântico Sudoeste. Uma operação de arrastão-de-praia foi observada na praia do Cassino, RS, Brasil, com um descarte de 178 indivíduos identificados como *Myliobatis goodei* e *M. ridens* em um lance. Os indivíduos mediram entre 44.0 e 84.0 cm de largura de disco. Estas espécies e esta pescaria precisam ser monitoradas no longo prazo e manejadas.
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Fisheries activities' discards, comprising many species, are commonly found on the sandy shore along the Rio Grande do Sul State, southern Brazil. Along the extensive sandy Cassino beach (638 km, with only one significant interruption in the Patos Lagoon mouth) both coastal industrial and artisanal fisheries (bottom trawling and gill-netting), and beach artisanal fisheries (beach seine and a new gear with drifting gill-nets, locally called “lance de praia”), take place (Reis et al. 1994, Boffo & Reis 2003, Vooren & Klippel 2005; Velasco et al. 2011). The target species of the beach artisanal fisheries are mainly scienids like the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823), the weakfish *Cynoscion guatucupa* (Cuvier, 1830), king weakfish *Macrodon atricuca* (Günther, 1880), the Southern kingcroaker *Mentichirus americanus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and the Gulf kingcroaker *M. littoralis* (Holbrook, 1847), and the mullet *Mugil liza* Valenciennes, 1836. Elasmobranchs are a bycatch of these fisheries, mainly predators like the Brazilian guitarfish *Rhinobatos horkelli*, which was targeted in the decade of 1980 to depletion. However, the magnitude of the catches of some skates and rays have been increasing by virtue of the regional industrial fisheries, in view of the lower landings that bony fishes have been presenting (Oddone & Vooren 2005, Vooren & Klippel 2005, Isaac et al. 2006).

Beach seine is a traditional fishing gear used by artisanal fishermen in Cassino beach (Vooren 1997, Vooren & Klippel 2005). Not so long ago, this gear was used for targeting the whitemouth croaker and the Brazilian guitarfish, but since the collapse of the guitarfish fishery and its prohibition by means of the Ministry of the Environment's regulation number 5 from May 21st, 2004 (“Instrução Normativa MMA nº 05, de 21 de Maio de 2004”), fishermen have been targeting other species. However, the coastal area and the surf zone are also seasonally visited by other elasmobranchs species like the eagle rays *Myliobatis* spp. (Vooren 1997, Vooren and Klippel 2005, Velasco et al. 2011).

Since the *Myliobatis* species complex confusion was recently solved and *Myliobatis ridens* (Ruocco et al., 2012) was described (this species had been previously recorded as *Myliobatis*...
“broad-teeth” morphotype (BT), by Vooren, 1997), both species can be clearly identified and accounted for in fisheries’ landings. Until now, the few data collected made reference to *Myliobatis goodei* Garman, 1885, *Myliobatis* sp. BT, and *M. freminvillei* Lesueur, 1824, as the species caught by beach seine, and discarded.

A new record of massive fishing and discarding involving genus *Myliobatis* was witnessed during the late afternoon (c.a. 18:00 h) on October 15th, 2011. On this occasion, we were able to see the fishermen in action, count the totality of the specimens laying on the sand, and even return back to the water several alive specimens. Due to the lack of cooperation by the fishermen, who were afraid of the environment agency, the Brazilian Environment and Natural Renewable Resources Institute’s (“Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis” - IBAMA) inspections and fines, little biological data were recorded at that time. Yet, the species were identified by the direct observation of the dentition (according to Ruoco et al. 2012) as *Myliobatis goodei* and *M. ridens* (Fig. 1). A total of 178 individuals were discarded from a single beach seine haul (Fig. 2).

The bony-fish catch of that haul was composed mainly by the Argentinean menhaden Brevoortia pectinata (Jenyns, 1842), the bluefish Pomatomus saltarix (Linnaeus, 1766), the whitemouth croaker, the American harvestfish Pепrilus paru (Linnaeus, 1758) and one female angelshark Squatina argentina (Marini, 1930) (Fig. 3) that was quickly measured (TL 42.5 cm) and released alive.

The random sample of eight individuals measured that evening had disc width (DW) ranging from 44.0 to 84.0 cm for *Myliobatis goodei*, and 45.0 to 50.0 cm DW for *M. ridens*. The following morning, when returning to the site, only some heads along to the vertebral columns and viscera were found. Such material was still useful for the macroscopic analysis of the teeth and gonads, confirming the previous day observations. Female *M. ridens* were macroscopically classified as mature according to the ovarian follicular activity. Follicles were vitellogenic and maximum follicular diameter was 1.5 cm. Male *M. ridens* were also mature, according to the macroscopical aspect of the testicular surface which presented lobes of 0.7 and 0.8 cm in diameter (Fig. 4). The maturity stages were identified as “capable to reproduce” in both sexes (stages 3 and 3a respectively) according to ICES (2013).

We conclude that these beach fisheries may capture, incidentally, more than one Myliobatis species, in the same haul, sometimes in large numbers, including reproductive females and males. Such fisheries also capture other elasmobranch species such as the Squatina argentina here recorded, that is globally considered an Endangered species (EN) (see IUCN Red List status at http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/39329/0), and Critically Endangered (CR) in the Brazilian national assessment done by the Chico Mendes Institute for the Conservation of Biodiversity (Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade – ICMBio) (http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/biodiversidade/fauna-brasileira/lista-de-especies/6570-especie-6570.html). Presently, the Myliobatis species are still in the Data Deficient (DD) category in the global assessment by the IUCN, but are considered Endangered (*M. freminvillei*) and Critically Endangered (*M. goodei* and *M. ridens*) in the ICMBio’s list (accessible through: http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/biodiversidade/fauna-brasileira/lista-de-especies.html). Thus, a long
term governmental monitoring of artisanal coastal and beach fisheries is needed to assess the status and abundance trends of the Southwest Atlantic populations, in the pursuit of protecting the elasmobranchs biodiversity.

Figure 2. Eagle rays *Myliobatis goodei* and *M. ridens* and bony fishes discarded after a beach seine haul on Cassino Beach, on October 15th, 2011.

Figure 3. A juvenile angelshark *Squatina argentina* discarded after a beach seine haul on Cassino Beach, on October 15th, 2011, measured and released alive.
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**Figure 4.** Ovary (above) and testis (below) of discarded *Myliobatis goodie*. Maturity stages were classified as “capable to reproduce” (stages 3 and 3a respectively) according to ICES (2013).
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